

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/815,265	JEPPSEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Paul W. Schlie	2186	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Paul W. Schlie (USPTO).

(3) Edmund Pl Pfleger (applicant's attorney).

(2) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 26 September 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*applicant's argument regarding the contention that SAS standard does not provide for SCSI extended copy commands.*

**Claims discussed:**

*all inclusive*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*t10 standards committee published SAS standards documents (as denoted in accompanying 892).*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*essentially providing evidence that SAS does provide for extended copy by way of providing explicit support for the SCSI command protocol inclusive of extended copy for review by the applicant.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)